

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/936,623	02/13/2002	Caroline Matheson	M61.12-0392	M61.12-0392 8734	
7590 12/28/2004			EXAMINER		
Westman Champlin & Kelly			AZAD, ABUL K		
900 Second Avenue South Suite 1600 Minneapolis, MN 55402-3319			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
on the state of th	•••	T.	2654		
			DATE MA II ED: 12/28/2004	DATE MA H.ED: 12/28/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		09/936,623	MATHESON, CAROLINE		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		ABUL K. AZAD	2654		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONET.	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Fe	ebruary 2001.			
	•	action is non-final.			
3)[
Dispositi	on of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers				
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on 13 February 2001 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the CREPLACEMENT Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the Oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11 in the CREPLACEMENT REPORTS IN THE CREEKING IN THE CREEKIN	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage		
Attachmen	t(s)				
1) Notic 2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 9/11/01.	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te		

Art Unit: 2654

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-15 are pending in this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 15 is rejected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim depending on claims 1-14. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claim 15 not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Papineni et al. (US 6,246,981).

Art Unit: 2654

As per claim 1, Papineni teaches, "a man-machine dialogue system comprising an interactive computer system with":

"an input device for receiving input from a user" (Fig. 1, "speech input");

"an output device for generating output to a user" (Fig. 1, "speech output");

"an object system which is an information source or store, or a command and control device, the object of the dialogue being to interface between this system and a user" (Fig. 1, element 4):

"a dialogue manager, which controls the dialogue between the object system and a user dependent upon: a dialogue specification, comprising a set of augmented transition networks (ATNs), having a set of states through which are propagated one or more tokens, each- token comprising a set of fields which together define the current state of belief of the dialogue controller, dependent upon the preceding user-system interchanges and information obtained from the object system" (Fig. 1, element 40; col. 8, line 19 to col. 9, line 45, here ATNs reads on "forms"; token reads on "slot").

As per claim 2, Papineni teaches, "means for copying and updating the token through each state in an ATN as the dialogue controller passes therethrough" (col. 8, line 19 to col. 9, line 45).

As per claim 3, Papineni teaches, "wherein each token is linked to its predecessor, so enabling the dialogue controller to regain a previous state of data maintained at some point during the history of the dialogue" (col. 11, lines 39-67).

Art Unit: 2654

As per claim 4, Papineni teaches, "wherein each state is associated with an action or actions, and each action may receive, modify and transmit a token or tokens" (col. 11, lines 39-67).

As per claim 5, Papineni teaches, "employing an ATN to specify the course of the dialogue, and wherein each state may represent a junction, a system action, such as a user interaction, or an embedded dialogue represented by a further augmented transition network" (col. 8, line 19 to col. 9, line 45).

As per claim 6, Papineni teaches, "where the input device is a speech recognizer" (Fig. 1, element 20).

As per claim 7, Papineni teaches, "where the input device is constrained by a set of statistical grammars which may be defined using an ATN" (col. 8, line 19 to col. 9, line 45).

As per claim 8, Papineni teaches, "wherein each of the states may represent a junction, a terminal state, such as a word or other acoustical phenomenon, or an embedded statistical grammar represented by a further ATN" (col. 8, line 19 to col. 9, line 45).

As per claim 9, Papineni teaches, "wherein tokens are propagated from the user-interaction dialogue state to the start of the input network, and through a best matching path of the input network back to the user-interaction dialogue state" (col. 8, line 19 to col. 9, line 45).

Art Unit: 2654

As per claim 10, Papineni teaches, "wherein a token is propagated for each alternative input hypothesis considered by the input device, and the score assigned to the hypothesis is a modifiable field of the token" (see Appendix B).

As per claim 11, Papineni teaches, "wherein the output device is a speech generator" (Fig. 1, element 70).

As per claim 12, Papineni teaches, "wherein user output is represented by an augmented transition network" (col. 8, line 19 to col. 9, line 45).

As per claim 13, Papineni teaches, "where the states represent an output word or other acoustical or linguistic phenomenon" (col. 8, line 19 to col. 9, line 45).

As per claim 14, Papineni teaches, "wherein tokens are propagated from the dialogue user-interaction state to the first user output state, and from each user output state to the start of the input network" (col. 8, line 19 to col. 9, line 45).

Contact Information

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Abul K. Azad** whose telephone number is **(703) 305-3838.**

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richemond Dorvil, can be reached at (703) 305-9645.

Art Unit: 2654

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314

(For informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT") Hand-delivered responses should be brought to 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center's Customer Service Office at telephone number (703) 306-0377. A.R. AS

Abul K. Azad

December 27, 2004